## **Mass HIV Testing**

cf "Screening for HIV: Can we afford the false positive rate? Meyer & Pauker NEJM 317 238-241, 1987

EXAMPLE OF A HIGHLY SENSITIVE TESTING PROCEDURE WHICH, EVEN WITH A VERY LOW FALSE POSITIVE RATE, WILL, IN A LOW-PREVALENCE POPULATION, PRODUCE ALMOST AS MANY FALSE POSITIVE AS TRUE POSITIVE TESTS.

e.g. 
$$SENSITIVITY^* = 100\%$$

{ FP=0.005 of 1% }

$$PREVALENCE**** = 0.01 \text{ of } 1\%$$

{P= 0.0001 or 0.01 of 1% or 1 in 10,000}

Then, per  $10^5 = 100,000$  tested ...

5 False Positives

10 True Positives

15 Positive Tests

\*SENS = PROB( Test +ve | Disease )

\*\*SPEC = PROB( Test -ve | No Disease)

\*\*\*PREVALENCE = PROB( Disease)