Q6 - Correlation between parental & offspring heights

father & son : $[0,396 \pm 0,024]$

mother & daughter : $[0,284 \pm 0,028]$ → weakest

⇒ why?

EXCELLENT SCHOLARSHIP! ✓

& other approximations

"about" for mothers : |||| ' || |||| = 11

fathers : ||| || = 5

sons : ||| ||| ||||| ||||| |||||| ||| = 26

daughters : |||| |||| ||| ||| |||||| ||||| ||||| || = 35

} more sons than daughters (I think, I didn't count...)

⇒ More errors about the women's heights ⇒ attenuation of the slope & the correlation is weakest
is because their heights are more frequently approximated than the fathers'

(as pointed out on orientation day)

⇒ Karl Pearson : "due to amateur measuring of nature in women" !!